IT IS 6 MINUTES TO MIDNIGHT



Pakistan's nuclear forces, 2011

Hans M. Kristensen and Robert S. Norris

Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists 67(4) 91–99 © The Author(s) 2011 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0096340211413360 http://thebulletin.sagepub.com



Abstract

Bulletin

Scientists

Nuclear notebook

of the Atomic

The US raid that killed Osama bin Laden has raised concerns about the security of Pakistan's nuclear arsenal. In the process of building two new plutonium production reactors and a new reprocessing facility to fabricate more nuclear weapons fuel, Pakistan is also developing new delivery systems. The authors estimate that if the country's expansion continues, Pakistan's nuclear weapons stockpile could reach 150–200 warheads in a decade. They assess the country's nuclear forces, providing clear analysis of its nuclear command and control, nuclear-capable aircraft, ballistic missiles, and cruise missiles.

Keywords

ballistic missiles, cruise missiles, nuclear-capable aircraft, nuclear weapons, Osama bin Laden, Pakistan, plutonium

espite its political instability, Pakistan continues to steadily expand its nuclear capabilities and competencies; in fact, it has the world's fastest-growing nuclear stockpile. In the aftermath of the US raid that killed Osama bin Laden, who had made his hideout in an Islamabad suburb, concerns about the security of Pakistan's nuclear weapons are likely to keep pace with the growth of Pakistan's arsenal. Pakistan is building two new plutonium production reactors and a new reprocessing facility with which it will be able to fabricate more nuclear weapons fuel. It is also developing new delivery systems. Enhancements to Pakistan's nuclear include forces а new nuclearcapable medium-range ballistic missile

(MRBM), the development of two new nuclear-capable short-range ballistic missiles, and the development of two new nuclear-capable cruise missiles.

We estimate that Pakistan has a nuclear weapons stockpile of 90-110 nuclear warheads, an increase from the estimated 70-90 warheads in 2009 (Norris and Kristensen, 2009). The US Defense Intelligence Agency projected in 1999 that by 2020 Pakistan would have 60-80 warheads (Defense Intelligence Agency, 1999); Pakistan appears to have reached that level in 2006 or 2007 (Norris and Kristensen, 2007), more than a decade ahead of predictions. In January 2011, our estimate (DeYoung, 2011) of Pakistan's stockpile was confirmed in the New York Times by "officials and outsiders familiar with the American assessment," who said that the official US estimate for "deployed weapons" ranged from the mid-90s to more than 110 (Sanger and Schmitt, 2011).^I With four new delivery systems and two plutonium production reactors under development, however, the rate of Pakistan's stockpile growth may even increase over the next 10 years.

The Pakistani government has not defined the number and type of nuclear weapons that its minimum deterrent requires. But Pakistan's pace of nuclear modernization—and its development of several short-range delivery systems—indicates that its nuclear posture has entered an important new phase and that a public explanation is overdue.

Fissile material and warhead production

As of late 2010, the International Panel on Fissile Materials estimated that Pakistan had an inventory of approximately 2,600 kilograms (kg) of highly enriched uranium (HEU) and roughly 100 kg of weapon-grade plutonium (International Panel on Fissile Materials, 2010). This is enough to produce 160–240 warheads, assuming that each warhead's solid core uses either 12–18 kg of HEU or 4–6 kg of plutonium.²

Reliable warhead estimates are difficult to arrive at but generally are based upon several kinds of information, including: the amount of weapon-grade fissile material produced, warhead design proficiency, production rates, operational nuclear-capable delivery vehicle numbers, and government officials' statements. Estimates must take into account that not all of a country's fissile material ends up in warheads. Like other nuclear weapon states, Pakistan probably maintains a reserve of fissile material. Pakistan also lacks enough delivery vehicles to accommodate 160–240 warheads; furthermore, most delivery systems are dual-capable, with a portion of them presumably assigned non-nuclear missions. Finally, official statements often refer to "warheads" and "weapons" interchangeably, without making it clear whether it is the number of delivery vehicles or the warheads assigned to them that is being discussed.

How much plutonium or uranium is needed for a Pakistani nuclear warhead depends upon many variables, but three are particularly important: the technical capabilities of the scientists and engineers, the warhead design, and the desired yield (see Table 2). Skilled technicians need less fissile material to achieve a given yield. Though we do not know the skill level at which the Pakistani bomb designers are working, they have been at it since the 1970s, have had help from China, and have conducted several nuclear tests: these factors suggest that low- to medium-level technical skills are plausible.

Precise details about Pakistan's nuclear warheads are not publicly known, but its initial warhead design was most likely an HEU fission implosion configuration. There is general consensus that China provided Pakistani nuclear scientist A. Q. Khan with the blueprints to the uranium implosion device that China detonated on October 27, 1966 (the so-called CHIC-4 test/design). It is also generally accepted that on May 26, 1990, China tested a Pakistani derivative of the CHIC-4 at its Lop Nor test site, with a yield in the 10-12 kiloton (kt) range.3 That range accords with estimates of

	Range ^b	Year	Payload (kilograms) 1 bomb	
Type ^a	(kilometers)	Introduced		
Aircraft F-16A/B ^c	1,600	1998		
Mirage V	2,100	1998	1 bomb	
Ballistic missiles				
Abdali (Hatf-2) ^d	180	(2012)	Conventional or nuclear	
Ghaznavi (Hatf-3)	~400	2004	Conventional or nuclear (500)	
Shaheen-1 (Hatf-4)	450+	2003	Conventional or nuclear (1,000)	
Ghauri (Hatf-5)	1,200+	2003	Conventional or nuclear (1,000)	
Shaheen-2 (Hatf-6) ^d	2,000+	(2011)	Conventional or nuclear (1,000)	
Nasr (Hatf-9) ^d	60	(2014)	Conventional or nuclear	
Cruise missiles				
Babur (Hatf-7) ^d	600	(2011)	Conventional or nuclear	
Ra'ad (Hatf-8) ^d	350+	(2013)	Conventional or nuclear	

Table 1. Pakistan's nuclear forces, 2011

^aWe estimate that Pakistan has produced 90-110 nuclear warheads for these delivery vehicles.

^bMissile payloads may have to be reduced to achieve maximum range. Aircraft range is for illustrative purposes only; actual mission range will vary according to flight profile and weapon loading.

^cIt is unknown if the new F-16C/Ds will also be nuclear capable.

^dUnder development; the Shaheen-2 may soon become, or already be, operational.

Pakistan's 1998 nuclear tests, which had yields somewhere between 5 and 12 kt. Refinements in boosting and plutonium use are the normal next steps in weapon improvements, along with miniaturization of the warheads to fit into smaller delivery vehicles. Pakistan is probably undertaking all of these improvements to arm its cruise and ballistic missiles, or both, with smaller payloads.

Pakistan may be producing 120–180 kg of HEU per year, an amount sufficient for 7-15 warheads. The uranium ore is mined at several locations throughout Pakistan, with more mines scheduled to open in the future. Uranium is extracted from the ore and processed into uranium hexafluoride and uranium metal at the Dera Ghazi Khan uranium processing facility in southern Punjab. Enrichment takes place at the Kahuta and Gadwal plants southeast and northwest of Islamabad, respectively (International Panel on Fissile Materials. 2010:

Landay, 2009; US Department of Commerce, 1998).

For several years, Pakistan has operated a 40-50 megawatt thermal plutonium production reactor, Khushab-I, near Joharabad, and a second reactor, Khushab-II, at the same site is also believed to be operational (Albright and Brannan, 2011a). Each of these plutonium production reactors is capable of producing an estimated 6-12 kg of weapon-grade plutonium per year (depending on operating efficiency), for a combined total of 12-24 kg annually-enough for three to six nuclear weapons, assuming low-to-medium skill levels (4-6kg per weapon) and a yield of 10 kt. At these rates, Pakistan may be producing enough HEU and weapon-grade plutonium for 10-21 warheads per year. Construction of the new Khushab-III and -IV plutonium production reactors is underway at the same site, though the fourth reactor is likely years away from completion (Albright

Yield (kt)	Weapon-grade plutonium (kg) Technical capability level			HEU (kg) Technical capability level		
	1	3	1.5	1	8	4
5	4	2.5	1.5	11	6	3.5
10	5	3	2	13	7	4
20	6	3.5	3	16	9	5

 Table 2. Approximate fissile material requirements for pure fission nuclear weapons

Source: Cochran and Paine (1995: 9).

and Brannan, 2011b). Once operational, these reactors could double Pakistan's annual plutonium output, increasing the number of warheads it could build.

To handle its expanded plutonium production, Pakistan is augmenting its reprocessing capacity by building a second chemical separation facility at the Pakistan Institute of Nuclear Technology, Science and near Rawalpindi.⁴ The majority of weapons in Pakistan's current nuclear arsenal are HEU-based, but the types of facilities it is building suggest that Pakistan wants to supplement (and perhaps eventually replace) its heavier HEU weapons with smaller, lighter plutonium-based designs that more easily fit on ballistic and cruise missiles.

If today's rate of expansion continues, we estimate that over the next 10 years Pakistan's nuclear weapons stockpile could potentially reach 150–200 warheads—a number comparable to the future British nuclear stockpile.

Nuclear command and control

The revelation that Osama bin Laden had hidden for years in Abbottabad, Pakistan, only 53 kilometers (km) northeast of Islamabad—and only 16 km from a large military weapons depot with underground facilities—raised new questions about the security and control of Pakistan's nuclear weapons. Outside Pakistan, observers wondered if the nuclear arsenal was secure from potential terrorist theft; inside Pakistan, observers wondered whether the arsenal was safe from a possible US or Indian incursion.

Exactly how Pakistan safeguards its nuclear weapons, and what type of "use-control" features its weapons have, is unclear. The weapons are thought to have some basic use-control features to prevent unauthorized use. Its facilities and weapons are said to be "widely dispersed in the country" (Sanger, 2009), with most of the arsenal located south of Islamabad (Kralev and Slavin, 2009). Furthermore, the weapons are thought to be stored unassembled, with the cores separate from the weapons and the weapons stored away from the delivery vehicles (at least under normal circumstances).5

Adm. Michael Mullen, US Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said in 2009 that he believes Pakistan has "actually put in an increased level of security measures in the last three or four years" to protect its nuclear arsenal (Mullen, 2009). In 2010, he said, "These are the most important weapons in the Pakistani arsenal. That is understood by the leadership, and they go to extraordinary efforts to protect and secure them. These are their crown jewels" (Ahmed, 2010). James Miller, the principal deputy undersecretary of defense for policy, says that the United States has "offered any assistance that Pakistan might desire with respect to [the] means for security of nuclear weapons" (Grossman, 2011). In past years, Pakistan has accepted tens of millions of dollars of US aid under a classified program to improve its nuclear security (Sanger and Broad, 2007), but whether that aid has continued is unclear. Pervez Musharraf, former president of Pakistan, recently claimed that the United States plays a "zero role" in helping to secure the Pakistani arsenal (Bast, 2011).

For Pakistan, however, the successful US raid against bin Laden deep inside Pakistan has probably reinforced concerns that its nuclear weapons might be vulnerable to US strikes or capture. Pakistan defended the general security of its arsenal, stating, "As regards the possibility of similar hostile action against our strategic assets, the [Pakistani military] reaffirmed that, unlike an undefended civilian compound, our strategic assets are well protected and an elaborate defensive mechanism is in place" (ISPR, 2011e).

Nuclear-capable aircraft

Pakistan probably assigns its F-I6A/B aircraft to the nuclear role, although some Mirage Vs could also have a nuclear mission. The F-I6A/Bs were supplied by the United States between 1983 and 1987, and the units with the nuclear mission probably include Squadrons 9 and II at Sargodha Air Base, which is located 160 km (100 miles) northwest of Lahore. Pakistan's F-16A/Bs, which have a range of 1,600 km (extendable when equipped with drop tanks), most likely carry a single bomb on the centerline pylon. The absence of extra security features at Sargodha suggests that nuclear weapons are not stored at the base; instead, bombs assigned to the aircraft may be kept at the Sargodha Weapons Storage Complex, 10 km south of the base, or at operational or satellite bases west and south of Sargodha, such as near Quetta and Karachi, where the F-16A/Bs would pick up their bombs if necessary.

Acquisition of additional F-16s was prevented for a time by the Pressler Amendment, which prohibited US military aid to suspected nuclear weapon states. After September 2001, however, the restrictions were loosened, and Pakistan requested a total of 36 F-I6C/ Ds from the Pentagon, as well as 60 upgrade kits to extend the life of its existing F-I6A/Bs. The first 18 new F-16C/D Block 52 aircraft were integrated into the No. 5 Squadron at Shahbaz Air Base in Jacobabad in March 2011, replaaging French-manufactured cing Mirage Vs (Hardy, 2011). In preparation for the delivery of the F-16C/D aircraft, Pakistani pilots spent seven months training at Luke Air Force Base in Arizona (Combat Aircraft, 2010).

Ballistic missiles

Pakistan has three operational nuclearcapable ballistic missiles: the shortrange Ghaznavi (Hatf-3) and Shaheen-I (Hatf-4) and the medium-range Ghauri (Hatf-5). It has at least three other nuclear-capable ballistic missiles under development: the medium-range Shaheen-2 (Hatf-6), which may soon be operational, and the short-range Abdali (Haft-2) and Nasr (Haft-9) systems.

The successful April 2011 test-launch of the Nasr, which Pakistan refers to as a short-range, surface-to-surface, multitube ballistic missile, is the country's most significant recent missile development. With a range of only 60 km and apparently intended to target troop formations rather than cities, the Nasr appears to fall into the category of nonstrategic or tactical nuclear weapons, rather than weapons intended for strategic deterrence. According to Pakistan's organization, military news Inter Services Public Relations (ISPR), the Nasr "carries nuclear warheads [sic] of appropriate yield with high accuracy, shoot and scoot attributes" and was developed as a "quick response system" to "add deterrence value" to Pakistan's strategic weapons development program "at shorter ranges" in order "to deter evolving threats" (ISPR, 2011c), a possible reference to India's large conventional ground forces and so-called Start doctrine.⁶ The missile Cold launcher used with the Nasr appears similar to the one used by the Chinese DF-10 ground-launched cruise missile or A-100 multiple rocket launcher.

The Nasr test followed the successful March test-launch of the 180-km-range Abdali dual-capable ballistic missile. Using language similar to its description of the Nasr, the Pakistani government that the Abdali "provides stated Pakistan with an operational level capability, additional to the strategic level capability" (ISPR, 2011b), another indication that Pakistan's nuclear doctrine is developing a new nonstrategic role for short-range missiles. The Abdali is a mysterious program because its

designation, Hatf-2, suggests that its origins date back to before the 2004 introduction of the Ghaznavi (Hatf-3), indicating that its development was somehow delayed.

The solid-fueled. single-stage Ghaznavi is believed to be derived from the Chinese M-11 missile, of which Pakistan acquired approximately 30 in early 1990s. A classified the US National Intelligence Estimate on China's missile-related assistance to Pakistan concluded in 1996-two years before Pakistan's nuclear tests-that Pakistan probably had developed nuclear warheads for the missiles (Smith, 1996). Pakistan test-launched a Ghaznavi on May 8, 2010, as part of an annual field training exercise of the Army Strategic Force Command to test "the operational readiness of Strategic Missile Groups" that are equipped with the missile system (ISPR, 2010).

On the same day, as part of the same exercise. Pakistan also test-launched a Shaheen-I, which is a reverse-engineered Chinese M-9 missile. The Shaheen-I has been in service since 2003 and has a range greater than 450 km. The 2,000-km range Shaheen-2 is a two-stage MRBM that has been under development for more than a decade and is thought to be nearing operational capability, if it hasn't happened already. The Shaheen-2 may replace the Ghauri MRBM, Pakistan's only liquid-fueled nuclear-capable ballistic missile.7

Cruise missiles

Pakistan is developing two new cruise missiles, the Babur (Hatf-7) and Ra'ad (Hatf-8), and it uses similar language to describe both missiles. According to the ISPR, the Babur and Ra'ad both have "stealth capabilities" and "pinpoint accuracy," and each is described as "a low-altitude, terrain-hugging missile with high maneuverability" (ISPR 2011a, 2011d).

The ground-launched Babur has been test-launched seven times, most recently on February 10, 2011; has a range of 600 km; and can "carry strategic and conventional warheads" (ISPR, 2011a). The Babur looks similar to the US Tomahawk sea-launched cruise missile. the Chinese DH-10 groundlaunched cruise missile, and the Russian air-launched AS-15. The Babur also appears much slimmer than Pakistan's ballistic missiles, suggesting that Pakistan has had success with warhead miniaturization.

On April 29, 2011, Pakistan successfully test-launched a Ra'ad ("thunder") missile for the third time. The Pakistani government issued a statement that the Ra'ad is an air-launched cruise missile (ALCM) that "can deliver nuclear and conventional warheads" to a range greater than 350 km. "This missile system has enabled Pakistan to achieve a greater strategic stand-off capability on land and at sea," according to the ISPR (2011d). The Ra'ad was first testlaunched on August 25, 2007, by a Mirage aircraft, and it is possible that in the future Pakistan's air force will deploy Ra'ad ALCMs on Mirage V or F-16 squadrons.

Acknowledgements

Jana Honkova, an intern at FAS, provided valuable research.

Notes

I. Note that the reference in the Sanger and Schmitt (2011) article to "deployed weapons"

is a *New York Times* formulation, not a quote from a government official. Weapons and warheads can be two different things, with "weapons" referring to delivery vehicles and "warheads" referring to the re-entry vehicles or bombs delivered by those delivery vehicles. Sometimes the two terms are used interchangeably. Pakistan's nuclear warheads (re-entry vehicles and bombs) are not thought to be "deployed" or mated with their delivery vehicles, but rather kept in storage.

- 2. These estimates for kilograms of fissile material used per warhead are conservative; amounts may be less. See Cochran and Paine (1995: 9).
- 3. See, for example, Reed and Stillman (2009: 252).
- For more details on Pakistan's nuclear facilities, see Kristensen and Norris (2009: 83). For descriptions of Pakistan's nuclear construction projects, see Albright et al. (2011).
- 5. For a description of a possible nuclear weapons storage site, see Kristensen (2009).
- 6. "Cold Start" is a popular term for a new Indian military strategy for rapid mobilization of conventional military forces against Pakistan or China (Pandit, 2009). It involves the creation of eight to 10 "integrated battle groups" of army, air force, and special forces intended "to destroy and not to hold or capture territory." For an excellent analysis of the doctrine and Pakistan's potential use of battlefield nuclear weapons, see Nayyar and Mian (2010).
- 7. The Ghauri MRBM is based on North Korea's No Dong missile.

References

Ahmed I (2010) China-Pakistan deal raises fears of nuclear proliferation. *Christian Science Monitor*, July I. Available at: www.csmonitor.com/World/ Asia-South-Central/2010/0701/China-Pakistandeal-raises-fears-of-nuclear-proliferation.

Albright D and Brannan P (2011a) Pakistan appears to be building a fourth military reactor at the Khushab nuclear site. Institute for Science and International Security, February 9. Available at: isis-online.org/isis-reports/detail/pakistan -appears-to-be-building-a-fourth-military-reactor-at-the-khushab-nu/.

- Albright D and Brannan P (2011b) Pakistan doubling rate of making nuclear weapons: Time for Pakistan to reverse course. Institute for Science and International Security, May 16. Available at: isis-online.org/isis-reports/detail/ pakistan-doubling-rate-of-making-nuclear-weapons-time-for-pakistan-to-rever/.
- Albright D, Brannan P, Stricker A, et al. (2011) Pakistan: ISIS reports, 2011. Institute for Science and International Security. Available at: isis-online.org/isis-reports/category/pakistan/#2011.
- Bast A (2011) Pakistan's nuclear surge. *Newsweek*, May 15. Available at: www.newsweek.com/2011/ 05/15/fourth-nuclear-reactor-at-pakistan-skhushab-site.html.
- Cochran TB and Paine CE (1995) The Amount of Plutonium and High-Enriched Uranium Needed for Pure Fission Nuclear Weapons. Natural Resources Defense Council, April 13. Available at: www.nrdc.org/nuclear/fissionw/ fissionweapons.pdf.
- Combat Aircraft (2010) Pakistan pilots train for new F-16s. July 10.
- DeYoung K (2011) New estimates put Pakistan's nuclear arsenal at more than 100. *Washington Post*, January 31. Available at: www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2011/01/30/ AR2011013004136_pf.html.
- Defense Intelligence Agency (2004) The decades ahead: 1999–2020, a primer on the future threat. In: Scarborough R (2004) Rumsfeld's War: The Untold Story of America's Anti-Terrorist Commander. Washington, DC: Regnery, 194–223.
- Grossman E (2011) Latest Pakistani assurances on nuclear security draw mixed reaction. Global Security Newswire, May II. Available at: gsn.nti.org/gsn/nw_2011051I_3927.php.
- Hardy J (2011) Pakistan Air Force inducts F-16 Block 52 squadron. *Jane's Defence Weekly*, March 16.
- International Panel on Fissile Materials (2010) Global Fissile Material Report 2010: Balancing the Books: Production and Stocks. Princeton: IPFM. Available at: www.fissilematerials.org/ipfm/site_down/ gfmr10.pdf.
- ISPR (2010) Press release No. PRI86.2010-ISPR, May 8. Available at: http://www.ispr.gov.pk/front/ main.asp?o=t-press_release&date=2010/5/8.
- ISPR (2011a) Press release no. PR40/2011-ISPR, February 10. Available at: www.ispr.gov. pk/front/main.asp?o=t-press_release&date=2011/ 2/10.
- ISPR (2011b) Press release no. PR62/2011-ISPR, March 11. Available at: www.ispr.gov.pk/front/main. asp?o=t-press_release&id=1689#pr_link1689.

- ISPR (2011c) Week view no. PR94/2011-ISPR, April 19. Available at: www.ispr.gov.pk/front/main. asp?o=t-press_release&id=1721.
- ISPR (2011d) Week view no. PR104/2011-ISPR, April 29. Available at: www.ispr.gov.pk/front/main. asp?o=t-press_release&id=1732.
- ISPR (2011e) Press release no. PR108.2011-ISPR, May 5. Available at: www.ispr.gov.pk/front/main. asp?o=t-press_release&date=2011/5/5.
- Kralev N and Slavin B (2009) Clinton warns of Pakistani nuke risk. Washington Times, April 24.
- Kristensen HM (2009) Pakistani nuclear forces, 2009. FAS Strategic Security Blog, August 28. Available at: www.fas.org/blog/ssp/2009/08/ pakistan2009.php.
- Landay J (2010) Despite Taliban turmoil, Pakistan expands nuke plants. *McClatchy*, May I. Available at: www.mcclatchydc.com/2009/05/ 01/67379/despite-taliban-turmoil-pakistan.html.
- Mullen M (2009) SECDEF/CJCS Senate Armed Service Committee Testimony regarding FY 2010 budget request. Joint Chiefs of Staff, May 14. Available at: www.jcs.mil/ speech.aspx?ID=1182.
- Nayyar AH and Mian Z (2010) The Limited Military Utility of Pakistan's Battlefield Use of Nuclear Weapons in Response to Large Scale Indian Conventional Attack. Pakistan Security Research Unit, Brief Number 61, November 11. Available at: spaces.brad.ac.uk:8080/download/ attachments/748/Brief61doc.pdf.
- Norris RS and Kristensen HM (2007) Nuclear notebook: Pakistan's nuclear forces, 2007. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 63(3): 71–74. Available at: http://bos.sagepub.com/content/63/3/71.full.pdf.
- Norris RS and Kristensen HM (2009) Nuclear notebook: Pakistani nuclear forces, 2009. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 65(5): 82–89. Available at: bos.sagepub.com/cgi/reprint/65/5/82.
- Pandit R (2009) Army reworks war doctrine for Pakistan, China. *Times of India*, December 30. Available at: articles.timesofindia.indiatimes. com/2009-12-30/india/28104699_I_war-doctrinenew-doctrine-entire-western-front.
- Reed TC and Stillman DB (2009) The Nuclear Express: A Political History of the Bomb and Its Proliferation. Minneapolis, MN: Zenith.
- Sanger DE (2009) Pakistani strife raises US doubts on nuclear arms. *New York Times*, May 3. Available at: www.nytimes.com/2009/05/04/world/asia/ 04nuke.html.
- Sanger DE and Broad WJ (2007) US secretly aids Pakistan in guarding nuclear arms. *New York Times*, November 18. Available at: www.nytimes. com/2007/11/18/washington/18nuke.html.

- Sanger DE and Schmitt E (2011) Pakistani nuclear arms pose challenge for US policy. *New York Times*, January 31. Available at: www.nytimes. com/2011/02/01/world/asia/01policy.html.
- Smith RJ (1996) Pakistan secretly building missile factory with China. *Washington Post*, August 25.
- US Department of Commerce (1998) *Federal Register* 63(223): 64338. Available at: www.bis.doc.gov/ pdf/india-pakistanentities-nov98.pdf.

Author biographies

Hans M. Kristensen is the director of the Nuclear Information Project with the Federation of American Scientists (FAS) in Washington, DC. His work focuses on researching and writing about the status of nuclear weapons and the policies that direct them. Kristensen is a co-author to the world nuclear forces overview in the SIPRI Yearbook (Oxford University Press) and a frequent adviser to the news media on nuclear weapons policy and operations. He has co-authored Nuclear Notebook since 2001. Inquiries should be directed to FAS, 1725 DeSales St., NW, Sixth Floor, Washington, DC, 20036 USA; +1 (202) 546-3300.

Robert S. Norris is a senior fellow with the Federation of American Scientists in Washington, DC. A former senior research associate with the Natural Resources Defense Council, his principal areas of expertise include writing and research on all aspects of the nuclear weapons programs of the United States, Soviet Union/Russia, Britain, France, and China, as well as India, Pakistan, and Israel. He is the author of Racing for the Bomb: General Leslie R. Groves, the Manhattan Project's Indispensible Man (Steerforth, 2002) and co-author of Making the Russian Bomb: From Stalin to Yeltsin (Westview, 1995). He co-authored or contributed to the chapter on nuclear weapons in the 1985-2000 editions of the SIPRI Yearbook (Oxford University Press), and has co-authored Nuclear Notebook since 1987.